

RICHARD G. LUGAR, INDIANA, CHAIRMAN

CHUCK HAGEL, NEBRASKA
LINCOLN CHAFEE, RHODE ISLAND
GEORGE ALLEN, VIRGINIA
SAM BROWNBACK, KANSAS
MICHAEL B. ENZI, WYOMING
GEORGE V. VOINOVICH, OHIO
LAMAR ALEXANDER, TENNESSEE
NORM COLEMAN, MINNESOTA
JOHN E. SUNUNU, NEW HAMPSHIRE

JOSEPH R. BIDEN, JR., DELAWARE
PAUL S. SARBANES, MARYLAND
CHRISTOPHER J. DODD, CONNECTICUT
JOHN F. KERRY, MASSACHUSETTS
RUSSELL D. FEINGOLD, WISCONSIN
BARBARA BOXER, CALIFORNIA
BILL NELSON, FLORIDA
JOHN D. ROCKEFELLER IV, WEST VIRGINIA
JOHN S. CORZINE, NEW JERSEY

KENNETH A. MYERS, JR., STAFF DIRECTOR
ANTONY J. BLINKEN, DEMOCRATIC STAFF DIRECTOR

United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN RELATIONS

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-6225

April 22, 2004

Dear Colleague:

I am writing to share with you the attached letter I have received from every living former Legal Adviser to the U.S. Department of State urging prompt U.S. accession to the Law of the Sea Convention. The signers of the letter include all of the Legal Advisers who served in the Carter, Reagan, George H.W. Bush, and Clinton Administrations.

Their letter refutes several inaccurate assertions some have made about the Convention. They observe that the Reagan Administration's objections to the Convention in 1982 were limited to the Convention's deep sea bed mining provisions and that all of these objections have been satisfactorily resolved through the 1994 agreement restructuring those provisions. They note that the Convention does not give decision-making authority on any issue to the United Nations. They also note that the Convention does not give any control over United States military activities to any international bureaucracy or court.

These former Legal Advisers to the Department of State join the Bush Administration, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the Chief of Naval Operations, the Commandant of the U.S. Coast Guard, the U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy, all affected industries, and the environmental community in urging the Senate to act to approve the Law of the Sea Convention. We urge you to join us in supporting prompt and favorable consideration of the Convention by the full Senate.

Sincerely,



Richard G. Lugar
Chairman

RGL/mmk

Attachment

April 7, 2004

The Honorable Richard G. Lugar
Chairman, Committee on Foreign Relations
United States Senate
Dirksen Senate Office Building, Room 450
Washington, D.C.

Re: LOS Convention

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The undersigned comprise all the living, former Legal Advisers to the United States Department of State. We served as general counsel to various Secretaries of State in the Administrations of Presidents Carter, Reagan, George H.W. Bush and Clinton. We are unanimous in our view that it is in the best interests of the United States that the Senate, at its earliest opportunity, grant its advice and consent to United States accession to the 1982 United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (the "LOS Convention") and to United States ratification of the 1994 Implementing Agreement that modifies Part XI of the LOS Convention (the "1994 Implementing Agreement").

We write at this moment because of certain objections that have been raised, in spite of the support of the Bush Administration and in spite of the unanimous approval of the LOS Convention and the 1994 Implementing Agreement in the Senate Foreign Relations Committee that was accompanied by a proposed resolution of advice and consent. This letter will not recite the many well-known advantages of the LOS Convention to the national security, economic and other interests of the United States, but rather will briefly address what we understand are residual concerns of certain members of the Senate.

First, the Reagan Administration's objection to the LOS Convention, as expressed in 1982 and 1983, was limited to the deep seabed mining regime. The 1994 Implementing Agreement that revised this regime, in our opinion, satisfactorily resolved that objection and has binding legal effect in its modification of the LOS Convention.

Second, President Reagan, while rejecting the deep seabed mining regime as then conceived, pronounced it United States policy in 1983 to abide by the LOS Convention provisions dealing with traditional uses of the oceans. All Administrations since then have, without exception, continued this policy. In order to gain unquestioned international acceptance of this United States policy, it is time, in our view, for the United States to take its place, and to assert its influence and leadership, under a Convention to which there are now 145 States Parties, including all other major industrial and maritime nations.

Third, the LOS Convention does not award any decision-making authority on any issue to the United Nations. The fact that the term "United Nations" appears in the title of the LOS Convention is legally meaningless and is an accident of history. The LOS Convention is a multilateral agreement that governs the legal relations among the States Parties. It creates three bodies, the International Seabed Authority, the Law of the Sea Tribunal and the Commission on the Limits of the Continental Shelf. All three are funded and organized by the States Parties to

the LOS Convention and not by the United Nations. Any monies that may ultimately flow to the International Seabed Authority are under the control of the States Parties, not of the United Nations. Because the Finance Committee of the International Seabed Authority, under the terms of the amended LOS Convention, operates by consensus, the United States, once a State Party, will participate in all financial and administrative decisions, which the Authority cannot take over an objection from the United States. In addition, the United States will have a permanent seat on the governing Council of the International Seabed Authority, where consensus is required for the approval of all regulations, including those dealing with financial matters.

Fourth, the United States will not submit to the jurisdiction of the International Tribunal of the Law of the Sea or the International Court of Justice in the settlement of any non-deep seabed mining disputes arising under the LOS Convention. In addition, the United States will opt out of all mandatory dispute settlement procedures with respect to military (which includes intelligence) activities and certain law enforcement and international boundary matters. Furthermore, the United States will make it clear in an understanding attached to its accession that it will be the sole judge as to what constitutes "military activities." Thus, in no way will the LOS Convention award any control over United States military activities to any international bureaucracy or court.

We are pleased to express our unreserved support for prompt affirmative action by the Senate in approving adherence by the United States to this important international Convention

Respectfully,

Herbert J. Hansell by DMJ
Honorable Herbert J. Hansell

Legal Adviser
1977-1979

Roberts B. Owen by DMJ
Honorable Roberts B. Owen

Legal Adviser
1979-1981

Davis R. Robinson
Honorable Davis R. Robinson

Legal Adviser
1981-1985

Abraham D. Sofaer by DMJ
Honorable Abraham D. Sofaer

Legal Adviser
1985-1990

Edwin D. Williamson by DMJ
Honorable Edwin D. Williamson

Legal Adviser
1990-1993

Conrad K. Harper by DMJ
Honorable Conrad K. Harper

Legal Adviser
1993-1996

David R. Andrews by DMJ
Honorable David R. Andrews

Legal Adviser
1997-2000

Michael J. Matheson by DMJ
Honorable Michael J. Matheson

Former Acting Legal Adviser
(on a number of occasions)

P.S. Davis Robinson has signed this letter on behalf of all those listed. Please direct any inquiries to him at (202) 986-8049 or at drrobins@llgm.com.